Special Meeting of the University Faculty Senate Wednesday, April 28, 2021, 8:00 – 9:30 am Location – Zoom

The University Faculty Senate met at 8:00 am on Wednesday, April 28, 2021. Present at the meeting were Brent Cochran (TUSM), Tom Dannenbaum (Fletcher), Misha Eliasziw (PHCM), Leila Fawaz (Fletcher), Janet Forrester (TUSM), Sonia Hofkosh (A&S), Olympia Karacosta (TUSDM), Carl Kirker-Head (Cummings), Jette Knudsen (Fletcher), Anne Mahoney (A&S), Michael Malamy (TUSM), Nirupa Matthan (HNRCA), Douglas Matson (Engineering), Melissa Mazan (Cummings), Mitch McVey (A&S), Aikaterini Papathanasiou (TUSDM), Mary Shultz (A&S), Maher Tabba (TUSM), Roger Tobin (A&S), Parke Wilde (Friedman), Henry Wortis (TUSM), and Chantal Zakari (SMFA). *Ex officio* members Vice Provost Kevin Dunn and Provost Nadine Aubry were also in attendance, and President Lynne Pepall presided over the meeting.

Regrets: Remco Chang (Engineering), Greg Gottlieb (Friedman), Nicole Holland (Dental), Dominique Penninck (Cummings), Ellen Pinderhughes (A&S), Mai Vu (Engineering),

- 1. Approval of 4/14 Minutes
 - a. Motion and second; unanimously approved
- 2. Executive Committee: Proposal for voting on motions brought by Standing Committees (see appended document)
 - a. Discussion
 - i. Wordsmithing changes proposed by several members
 - ii. Changes will be incorporated and document will be circulated for a vote via email
 - iii. Update: Revised motion passed via electronic vote as of May 7, 2021
- 3. *Nominating Committee*: Discussion of upcoming election of Executive Committee, and experience criteria for the office of Senate president
 - a. Idea of having Vice President be President-Elect was not popular
 - b. Consensus is that president should have at least been on EC for at least one year
 - c. Discussion
 - i. President-Elect idea has advantages of continuity, relationship-building with administration, distribution of effort
 - ii. Suggestion to keep status quo for this year no change will be made at this time
 - d. More self-nominations requested for Executive Committee only two received so far
 - i. Nominations are due by May 12 meeting
 - ii. Melissa will resend letter nominations should include the following
 - 1. Office you would like to run for, as well as any other office in which you would be willing to serve
 - 2. Short bio
 - 3. Brief statement of what you feel you would bring to position
 - iii. Consensus is for Nominations Committee to assemble slate as in previous years, taking into account self-nominations and nominations of others
- 4. *Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Social Justice Committee*: Discussion on University DEI training and education proposal and committee recommendations, (see appended documents)
 - a. DEI committee generally approves document from CDO, but offers some recommended adjustments
 - b. Letter has been sent to CDOs
 - c. The Provost reports that the Senate recommendations have been well-received and feedback is being incorporated into education program
 - d. Associate Directors of DEI Education, Maren Greathouse and Adriana Black, have been working on developing program for launch in the fall
 - e. Schools being asked to create for-credit mandatory courses as part of the initiative
 - f. Training will be required across all of university community
 - g. Suggestion for some word-smithing

- h. Observation that Senate was deferential to committee in this task, a process that worked well; such a process might be an example to emulate going forward, to save time in plenary sessions
- i. Suggestion to make training part of Senate orientation
- j. Vote to support recommendations as presented by the DEIJ Committee
 - i. Motion passed with 2 abstentions

5. Executive Committee:

- a. Proposed creation of Ad Hoc Committee on Guiding Principles to guide University budgetary decisions
 - i. Would like a member of each standing committee to serve
 - ii. Would be a way to codify how Senate committees provide input to university discussion
 - iii. Handing off to Nominations Committee to form the ad hoc for the next academic year
- b. Jim Hurley & Mike Howard coming to May 12 meeting to talk about FY22 budget
 - i. Committees are asked to submit 3 questions to Executive Committee by May 3 at noon
 - ii. Discussion of some general questions
 - 1. What are the university's priorities?
 - 2. Review of COVID-planning scenarios from last year's forecast vs. how things played out
 - a. Establish precedent of admin keeping Senate informed
 - b. Suggestion for annual visit to present prior year-in-review and forecast of coming year
 - 3. How do measures taken in the last year shape the priorities for the coming year?
 - 4. Request for a report to review prior to meeting, or limit report time during meeting to allow sufficient time for questions and input
 - 5. Follow report with executive session to formulate response
- c. End of year UFS Newsletter
 - i. Goal is to make people aware of Senate
 - ii. Committees will be writing short summaries
 - iii. Chantal and Lynne are working to put out newsletter by commencement
 - iv. Feedback requested

6. Other business

- a. Suggestion to do more Senate community-building getting together to socialize
- 7. Adjourn at 9:32